

## **Bicycle Technical Committee Meeting Minutes - Jan 2002**

Present: RM, PR, JM, RVH, TP, ED, MC, MDR, CC, TO, AS

Guests: Cherie Kittle

PR Is retiring as of February - Richard Moeur is the candidate new chair.

JL Move approval of June '01 minutes

MC 2nd

Unan. Approved

### **Rumble Strips**

TP 9B-14 should be where our recommendation goes.

PR - 1) we can develop a sign with recommendation  
2) get AASHTO to provide guidance on rumble strip provision and spacing.

TP Need text defining that W8-10 can be supplemented with a "Rumble Strip" plaque and W16-7 (down arrow). Suggest a new number in the W16 sequence for the new plaque. Use W16-9P for the ahead plaque - already defined. and W8-x as candidate numbers.

### **Rulemaking Comments**

Proposed Travel Mode Regulation signs - ITE comments

Alroth - we concur with second submittal

Bell- agree, but is accomplished within the individual sign type definition. Additional signs are beyond the scope of the proposal. Sentence construction: is an Edit committee topic.

Box - Agree to the addition of "the" facilities

Centa- we will retain ‘can be’ other comments are edit committee. Skateboards: not in notice. Skater symbol: retain current symbol until future research on distance is done.

Fisher- proliferation is an internal issue. Signs are OPTIONS - local authority. These are not route or advisory signs.

Hawkins- “proliferation” is not an issue, since these are recommended. These are options.

MDR- need stronger support statement to begin with to emphasize that will be primarily for shared use paths and non-motorized facilities.

TP No longer have guidance, but is now support - two paragraphs.

Pecora - There are locations throughout the country where there are multiple uses.

Seyfried- have made it an option

Shalkey - lists may not have the recognition value.

## **AASHTO-**

Jessup- Proximity of trails can induce confusion, particularly where have adjoining entrances.

O’Dougherty - not significant

Lambert- outside scope of proposal

Crow- sign size - agree, will use 18” by 18” (minimum)

## **Shared Use Arrow**

TP Bicyclist moved away from curb face, more motorist clearance, more bicyclists riding in correct direction. Some reduction in sidewalk bicycling.

MC NYC is currently using.

PR FHWA may allow for simulations for studies and research (without field study).

JLP List places currently being used in the country, show the most recent study from Gainesville, Florida.

Thursday NCUTCD meeting

### **Travel Mode signs**

Have changed support to guidance statement.

have changed minimum size to 18”

“These signs can be used..”

Define that more than one of these signs can be installed on one post?

Text only version?

Tabled.

-----

Thursday afternoon

RM, JM, RVH, PR, SD, MDR, AS, ED, MC, CC, TO, JLP, SD

Guests: Cherie Kittle, Ernie Huckaby

Older Driver and Pedestrian liason - RVH

tags for ADA

Pedestrian Task Force Rep - JLP & RVH

PR Mode restriction signs - viewed as an enforcement issue.

Ernie Huckaby - Wants our committee to focus on more than Part Nine in the NPA. Interim approval is an alternative to the rulemaking process. Experiments can be done and referenced - will be defined in Part One.

RVH Ken Kobetsky says that we can use the NYC skater without going back to sponsors for comments.

RM Resubmit all four of the series, delete skater if encounter opposition.

### **Rumble Strip**

JLP Make sign optional to define beginning of the rumble strip.

PR Sight restriction, narrow shoulder, bottom of a downgrade applications.

### **Bus Bike lane**

JLP use symbols, with elevation view of bus on the left - I series icons (get photo from MDR)

### **Parallel Trail/Sidewalk signs**

Bring back in June with data

### **PMTC comments on shared arrow -**

want typical locations defined via a support statement. Drawing with table of values for placement? “In wider lanes, this symbol would be in the right side of the lane.” For narrower lanes, the symbol should be placed towards the center of the lane. Their vote was to support us if we provide a support statement that defines the placement.

“The shared use lane symbol would normally be located to the right side of the travel lane that would be 13’ wide.”

TO move to approve

RVH 2nd

MC move to delete 13’

MDR 2nd

motion fails

original motion passes

For Friday NCUTCD - present modes signs, shared arrow, then rumble.

for June - construction detour signs and bike detection sign and pavement marking  
- see if show up in NPA.

TO will check with TP to see if can do research on causeways to define bikes on road actuated signs (and related narrow x section conflict areas).

### **Friday National**

RM move to table mode signs, bring back in June with alternatives

PR 2nd

Unan. passes

Wednesday the 19th, St. Paul Minnesota Wednesday evening cruise \$60, spouse \$15. Thursday noon lunch provided in the vendors area. Registration will be done via AASHTO website.

Web committee - ATSSA has offered to host the site, with its own domain name. Hope to have in place for the June meeting.